

REMARKS

In the Examiner's reasons for allowance, the Examiner states (portions omitted for brevity):

The independent claims 1, and 23 are allowable over the art of record and none of the references wither alone r in combination, discloses or renders obvious a reset apparatus for clocking system that reset a phase locked loop (PLL) with reset signal, which is

The independent claims 12, and 24 are allowable over the art of record and none of the references either alone or in combination, discloses or renders obvious a method for resetting a clocking system by providing a reset signal

Applicant agrees that the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited limitations. The Examiner, however, did not accurately cite limitations from the claims. Further, the Examiner did not cite all limitations from the claims. Applicant submits that no words or terms should be "read into" a claim based on the statements of the Examiner. Instead, the present claims are allowable for at least the reason that the art of record does not teach or suggest all of the limitations of the claims as actually recited in the claims themselves. Applicant therefore respectfully asserts that the scope of each claim as allowed is to be determined from the actual claim language, including all equivalents.

Date: September 1, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Philip S. Lyren
Reg. No. 40,709
Ph: 281-514-8236